

CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSE EVALUATION

Course Title (Please Enter): _____

Participant's Name: _____ SW License #: _____

Participant's Email Address: _____

Participant's Contact Phone #: _____

Workshop Evaluation

A. Course Design (Circle the number to indicate your level of agreement/disagreement with each of the aspects of course design.)

- | | Strongly agree | | | Strongly disagree | |
|--|----------------|---|---|-------------------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. The program content met my needs. | | | | | |
| 2. Length of the course was adequate | | | | | |
| 3. What did you like most about the course? | | | | | |
| 4. What specific things did you like least about the course? | | | | | |
| 5. If the course was repeated, what should be left out or changed? | | | | | |

B. Course objectives (Circle the number to indicate your level of agreement/disagreement with the degree to which course objectives were met.)

- | | Strongly agree | | | Strongly disagree | |
|---|----------------|---|---|-------------------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Increased knowledge on the subject area
a. addressed. | | | | | |
| 2. Increased awareness of available resources | | | | | |
| 3. Increased awareness of service gaps | | | | | |

4. Increased knowledge of policy issues and needed advocacy to further advance policies	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

C. Evaluation of Presenter 1:

		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	
1. Content presentation was organized	1	2	3	4	5	
2. Content was presented clearly and effectively	1	2	3	4	5	
3. Teaching aids/audiovisuals were used effectively	1	2	3	4	5	
4. Teaching style was effective	1	2	3	4	5	
5. Content met stated program objectives	1	2	3	4	5	
6. Content presented was applicable to my practice	1	2	3	4	5	

Evaluation of Presenter 2 (if applicable):

		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree		
1. Content presentation was organized	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
2. Content was presented clearly and effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
3. Teaching aids/audiovisuals were used effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
4. Teaching style was effective	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
5. Content met stated program objectives	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
6. Content presented was applicable to my practice	1	2	3	4	5	NA	

Evaluation of Presenter 3 (if applicable):

		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree		
1. Content presentation was organized	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
2. Content was presented clearly and effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
3. Teaching aids/audiovisuals were used effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
4. Teaching style was effective	1	2	3	4	5	NA	

5.	Content met stated program objectives	1	2	3	4	5	NA
6.	Content presented was applicable to my practice	1	2	3	4	5	NA

D. As a result of attending this course, I see the value to me in the following ways (check all that apply):

- I gained one or more specific ideas that I can implement in my area of practice.
- I learned a new approach to my practice.
- It may help me do a better job.
- I do not see the impact of this course on my job.
- Other

E. By attending this course, I believe (check all that apply):

- I was able to update my skills.
- I acquired new and/or advanced skills.
- I have better knowledge upon which to base my decisions/actions in the practice setting.
- I am reconsidering my views toward the topic(s) presented.
- The topic presented was appropriate, but I am undecided as to my own views.
- Other

F. Facilities/Arrangements (Circle the appropriate number to indicate your level of satisfaction or circle NA if the item is not applicable to you.)

	Unsatisfactory			Satisfactory		
	1	2	3	4	5	NA
1. Webtape as a Learning Tool						
2. Quality of AudioVisual Presentation						

Comments:

Overall I would rate this workshop as:

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Recommendations for Change/Modification for this Presentation:

Other learning needs: (List any other topics you would be interested in for the future)

THANK YOU.